

TERM OF COMMISSION: August Session of the July Adjourned Term

PLACE OF MEETING: Roger B. Wilson Boone County Government Center  
Chambers

PRESENT WERE: Presiding Commissioner Dan Atwill  
District I Commissioner Fred Parry  
District II Commissioner Janet Thompson  
Director Resource Management Stan Shawver  
Planner Uriah Mach  
County Counselor C. J. Dykhouse  
Deputy County Clerk Mike Yaquinto

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

### **Resource Management**

- 1. Public Hearing and Request by Bassett Construction Company, Inc. to rezone from R-S (Single Family Residential) to R-M (Moderate Density Residential) on 1.39 acres, more or less, located at 7240 E Sunny Vale Dr., Columbia (appeal).**

Stan Shawver read the following staff report:

This request was considered by the Planning & Zoning Commission during their July 20, 2017 meeting.

The minutes for the Planning and Zoning Commission meeting of July 20, 2017, along with the Boone County Zoning Regulations and Subdivision Regulations are entered into the record of this meeting.

The Planning & Zoning Commission conducted a public hearing on this request during their July 20, 2017 regular meeting. There were nine members of the commission present during the meeting.

The subject property is located on the western side of Sunny Vale Dr. near the corner of Sunny Vale Dr. and I-70Dr. SE. The nearest portion of the municipal limits of the City of Columbia are almost directly north across interstate 70. The property subject to rezoning is approximately 1.39-acres in size and zoned R-S (Single Family Residential). There is R-S zoning to the north, and west, with R-M (residential moderate density) to the south and southeast. To the east and northeast there is C-G (general commercial) zoning. All surrounding zonings are all original 1973 zoning. The subject property is the site of a former central sewage lagoon that has been eliminated and reclaimed. The property is currently vacant.

The applicant is seeking to rezone to R-M (residential moderate density).

The Boone County Master Plan identifies this area as being suitable for residential land uses. The Boone County Master Plan designates a sufficiency of resources test for the evaluation of zoning changes where each proposal is evaluated to see if sufficient utility, transportation, and public safety infrastructure is in place to support the change in zoning. The sufficiency of resources test provides a “gatekeeping” function. Failure to pass the test should result in denial of a request. Success in passing the test should result in further analysis.

Utilities: The subject property is located in Public Water Service District #9; however, the only available water is either a 2.5 -inch or 4-inch waterline that is incapable of providing fire flow to the property. The site is in the Boone Electric Cooperative service area, and the Boone County Fire Protection District.

Transportation: The property has direct access on Sunny Vale Dr., a publicly-dedicated, publicly-maintained right-of-way.

Public Safety: The property is located in the Boone County Fire Protection District, with the station at Lake of the Woods being closest for service, but no hydrants nor waterlines able to support hydrants are possible at this location at this time.

Zoning Analysis: The proposed rezoning is consistent with development in the surrounding area; however, without adequate fire protection the request fails to meet the sufficiency of resources test. With only a 4-inch waterline the requested zoning could not be utilized without substantial water upgrades. Available infrastructure does not support the proposed rezoning request.

The property scored 67 points on the rating system.

Staff recommended denial of the request.

The Planning & Zoning Commission conducted a public hearing on this request during their July 20, 2017 regular meeting. There were nine members of the commission present during the meeting.

Following the public hearing, a motion was made to recommend denial of the rezoning request. That motion carried with 5 members voting to deny the request and 4 members voting against the motion. The applicant filed a timely appeal, so the request is before the Commission tonight on an appeal.

Commissioner Atwill asked what size water line is adequate.

Mr. Shawver said that would be 6”.

The Commissioners had no further questions at this time and Commissioner Atwill opened the public hearing and asked if there is anyone present to speak on behalf of this request.

Bob Bassett said these lots are former lagoon lots. This lot, just to the south of it, is a RM lot. It is empty and I am told I can build RM on it with no problem with a 4" water line. This lot sits directly across the street from commercial and a six-plex and is next to a telephone building. There is no way you can bring in a 6" water line just for this one building. Someday, there will be a 6" water line put in there to serve commercial as it is right next to Business Loop 70.

As a RM lot, no one would want to come in and spend the money to build a single-family house in that circumstance. If someone wants to build a multi-family, I understand they would have to go to the building permit process and have the proper water lines and services. The P & Z Commission thought I would sell it to someone unknowing of the circumstances, but I assure you that is not the case. I believe that would be part of the disclosure process.

I contacted Bob Tripp of the Sunrise Estates Home Builders Association asking for their input. They recommended I go with multi-family for this lot and commercial on the next lot we will talk about. It seems the only logical zoning for this lot is RM. Whether we zone RM and start building on it now or wait until we get a water line in there that would serve it, doesn't matter. I spent almost \$1,700 on this re-zoning process up to now, so we might as well zone it RM and deal with the building permit process as it arises.

Commissioner Atwill asked where the nearest 6" line would be.

Mr. Shawver said there is a large water line on the other side of I-70, but it would need to be bored and there is a water line about 1800 feet to the east that runs to the back of the church.

Commissioner Parry asked about the size of the line that services the Sunrise Estates.

Mr. Shawver said it is 4" in the north part with a larger line in the south part of the subdivision, closer to Richmond Road.

Commissioner Parry asked if anything would be recorded on this property if Mr. Bassett were to sell the property, would there be a record, any construction of any sort, that would require an upgrade of the water line. Is that recorded.

Mr. Shawver said no.

Mr. Bassett said there would be nothing recorded, but in real estate, I would have an obligation to disclose that fact.

Commissioner Atwill asked if the 4" line is serving part of the subdivision, what is the difference.

Mr. Shawver said it does not provide adequate fire flow for the hydrants. It is adequate for domestic flow for washing clothes, showering and so forth, but it would not provide adequate flow for the hydrant which requires a minimum of 250 gallons per minute. They don't even make fire hydrants any more that have a 4' barrel.

Commissioner Atwill asked if the rest of the neighborhood is under served.

Mr. Shawver said it is under today's classification. The Fire District is aware of this and are not very happy about it. One thing to consider if RM zoning is granted, the prohibition would be against building multi-family structures (4-plex and larger) as they would require sprinkler systems and hydrants. They could build duplexes as they do not have the same fire flow requirements, but it would enable the property owner to build these on the lot.

Commissioner Atwill asked how many could be built.

Mr. Shawver said based on the size of the lot, probably at least five.

Commissioner Atwill asked Mr. Bassett if he has considered this.

Mr. Shawver said it still would need to be re-zoned to R-M, under the current zoning he could not.

Mr. Bassett said that is correct, he would still need to get it re-zoned to the R-M. That is a viable alternate, but the zoning still needs to change.

Mr. Shawver said that under R-S zoning, you could build duplexes, but you would need a Conditional Use Permit and that would be additional expense. If you go R-M, by rights, you can have it platted and build duplexes.

Commissioner Parry asked if there are other properties that are zoned R-M that are serviced by a 4" water line.

Mr. Shawver said there are quite a bit, but those go back to the original zoning of 1973.

Commissioner Parry asked how much extra expense there would be to change the request from R-M to R-MP.

Mr. Shawver said at this point, the cost would be substantial involving engineering costs to change the plan. There is an engineer in attendance and perhaps we should ask him.

Dan Brush said he is with Brush and Associates one of the things that he has heard tonight is that if a 4-plex or larger is built, it is required to be sprinkled. At that point in time, the water lines would have to be upgraded which would bring in the water and fire hydrants for at least part of the subdivision. The idea of building duplexes is dependent on what the frontage actually is which will determine the number you can build. Regarding the cost to change, it would be a chunk of change, more than what was paid for the re-zoning request.

C. J. Dykhouse said the P & Z staff is basing the usage decision on the maximum theoretical density of what is being requested. The question about what an individual property owner's plans are with the property are typically outside the purview of what the process is that lead to the recommendation before the Commission tonight and the maximum theoretical density that would support the requirement of the water line and the sufficiency of resource test to be met. I mention this as a point of order.

Commissioner Atwill asked what are his options if we continue to deny.

Mr. Dykhouse said he can come back and maximize the density with a new plan.

Mr. Shawver said nothing is keeping him from selling the property as is and let someone else deal with the problem. It does have value and someone could build single family houses, but that is not very desirable. There may be someone that would be interested in doing that. He is not being denied the use of the land. If he chooses to re-zone R-M, there are mechanisms where duplexes can be built, there are ways to fit those on the lot.

Commissioner Parry asked if this proposal went through a review by the Fire District.

Mr. Shawver said they were aware of it. On a development of this size, they would not send out someone for a review.

Commissioner Parry asked if they made a recommendation.

Mr. Shawver said they did not.

Commissioner Parry said he is inclined to approve this because he thinks there are stop-gap measures that would prevent something inappropriate being built until the water pressure and capacity is addressed.

Mr. Bassett said that would have to be done if one were going to build. In order to get the permit, the water situation would need to be taken care of.

Mr. Shawver said in order to meet the maximum possible usage of the property, that is correct. Until we discovered the inadequate water capacity, staff recommendation was to approve. When it could not then meet the sufficiency of resources test because of the water lines, we had no other choice but to recommend denial.

Commissioner Thompson said it appears we are putting the cart before the horse in trying to figure out the best use when the issue is how to get the water there. That should be the first thing to do.

Commissioner Parry said we do not know if the water is needed. It depends on how the property is used, it may not need that much water.

Commissioner Atwill said this is an incomplete picture. We need a better picture in order to take the risk. It is unfortunate, but the standards have changed.

Commissioner Thompson said that is why Sunrise Estates has the 4" water line. That was acceptable back then, but it no longer is.



Commissioner Atwill asked Mr. Bassett if he developed Sunrise Estates.

Mr. Bassett said he did the last 2/3 of the development.

Commissioner Atwill asked if he put in the 4" line.

Mr. Bassett said he did not in his section. In the back part of the subdivision it does have the 4" as that was the standard back then.

Commissioner Atwill asked if there is anyone else present that would like to speak on this request. There were no other speakers. Commissioner Atwill asked Mr. Bassett if he had anything else to add. Mr. Bassett did not and Commissioner Atwill closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Atwill added that even though this was a close vote by the P & Z Commission, when they come back with a position, I feel we should have extraordinary evidence to the contrary from that position to override that recommendation. We do understand Mr. Bassett's problem, but as noted, there are other ways to proceed.

There were no additional Commissioner comments.

Commissioner Thompson moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby **deny** the request by Bassett Construction Company, Inc. to rezone from R-S (Single Family Residential) to R-M (Moderate Density Residential) on 1.39 acres, more or less, located at 7240 E Sunny Vale Dr., Columbia.

Commissioner Atwill seconded the motion.

The motion carried 2 to 1. **Order #336-2017** (Commissioner Parry voted Nay.)

**2. Public Hearing and Request by Bassett Construction Company, Inc. to rezone from R-S (Single Family Residential) to C-G (General Commercial) on 1.4 acres, more or less, located at 7800 E I-70 Dr SE, Columbia.**

Stan Shawver read the following staff report:

The subject property is located on the south side of I-70 Dr. SE roughly centered between the intersections of N. West Park Ln. & I-70 Dr. SE and N. East Park Ln. & I-70 Dr. SE. The nearest portion of the municipal limits of the City of Columbia is north across interstate 70 approximately 2200 ft. to the northwest. The property subject to rezoning is approximately 1.4-acres in size and zoned R-S (Single Family Residential). There is R-S zoning to the south with A-2 and M-LP zoning north across I-70. Property adjoining on the east and west is zoned C-G (general commercial) zoning. The R-S and A-2 are original 1973 zonings with the commercial zonings occurring in 1975 and 1987. The subject property is the site of a former central sewage lagoon that has been eliminated and reclaimed. The property is currently vacant.

The applicant is seeking to rezone to C-G (general commercial).

The Boone County Master Plan identifies this area as being suitable for residential land uses. The Boone County Master Plan designates a sufficiency of resources test for the evaluation of zoning changes where each proposal is evaluated to see if sufficient utility, transportation, and public safety infrastructure is in place to support the change in zoning. The sufficiency of resources test provides a “gatekeeping” function. Failure to pass the test should result in denial of a request. Success in passing the test should result in further analysis.

Utilities: The subject property is located in Public Water Service District #9; however, the only available water is a 4-inch waterline that is incapable of providing fire flow to the property. The site is in the Boone Electric Cooperative service area, and the Boone County Fire Protection District.

Transportation: The property has direct access on I-70 Dr. SE, a publicly-dedicated, publicly-maintained right-of-way.

Public Safety: The property is located in the Boone County Fire Protection District, with the station at Lake of the Woods being closest for service, but no hydrants nor waterlines able to support hydrants are possible at this location at this time.

Zoning Analysis: The proposed rezoning is consistent with development of the adjoining eastern and western properties, however, without adequate fire protection the request fails to meet the sufficiency of resource test. With only a 4-inch waterline the requested zoning could not be utilized without substantial water upgrades. Available infrastructure does not support the proposed rezoning request.

The property scored 67 points on the rating system.

Staff recommended denial of the request.

The Planning & Zoning Commission conducted a public hearing on this request during their July 20, 2017 regular meeting. There were nine members of the commission present during the meeting.

Following the public hearing, a motion was made to recommend approval of the rezoning request. That motion carried with 7 members voting to approve the request and 2 members voting against the motion.

Commissioner Parry said the principal issue is the same as the previous request and on this one, the P & Z Commission voted 7 – 2, in favor, on this one. What changed.

Mr. Shawver said the Planning Commission noted the fact that this is a commercial development along with the value of the land, this would pay for the price of the water line coming in as the nearest line is only 800 feet away. When looking at a commercial development, that is not a substantial amount of money compared to the other site where the closest 6" line is much further away. Between the building code and the requirements for fire protection and hydrant, it was more likely to happen at this site.

Commissioner Thompson noted that the use would actually be able to happen here.

Commissioner Atwill noted that they would end up an adequate water line and flow.

Commissioner Parry said he does not mean to over simplify, but he feels like, if the owner of the property is going to develop it at its highest and best use, they are going to spend the money necessary whether it is commercial or multi-family like the last request. I feel this is not much difference between these two proposals that the P & Z denied and approved. I will vote in favor of this, but I am curious as to why there is a distinction in their minds. Whoever buys the property will want to develop it at its highest and best use and will, because of our regulations, increase the water capacity.

There were no additional Commissioner comments at this time.

Commissioner Atwill opened the public hearing and asked if there is anyone here to speak on this request.

Bob Bassett said he has the same comments as before as it is the same situation.

Commissioner Thompson noted it is different with respect to the zoning designation and it is a commercial property with a 6" water line 800 feet away.

Commissioner Atwill asked if there is anyone else that would like to speak on this issue. There were no speakers and Commission Atwill closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Parry moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby **approve** the request by Bassett Construction Company, Inc. to rezone from R-S (Single Family Residential) to C-G (General Commercial) on 1.4 acres, more or less, located at 7800 E I-70 Dr. SE, Columbia.

Commissioner Thompson seconded the motion.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order #337-2017**

**3. Public Hearing and Request by RRJK LLC to rezone from A-1 (Agriculture) to A-1P (Planned Agriculture) and approve a Review Plan for Reeves Development on 90 acres, more or less, located at 7601 N Rte Z, Columbia.**

- **Order for Rezone**
- **Order for Review Plan**

Stan Shawver read the following staff report:

The subject property is located on Route Z, south of State Route HH, near Murray. This site is approximately 4 ½ miles to the east of the city limits of Columbia. The subject property consists approximately 200 acres is zoned A-1(Agriculture). The property is undeveloped. There is A-1 zoning to the north, south, east, and west, with C-G (General Commercial) zoning to the northeast.

This proposed planned rezoning request identifies 90 acres of the 200 acres for rezoning to A-1P (Planned Agriculture). The review plan utilizes the density of the 90 acres to create seven 5 acre lots, one 5.74-acre lot, and a large, 49.26 acre lot.

The Boone County Master Plan identifies this area as being suitable for agriculture and rural residential land uses. The Boone County Master Plan designates a sufficiency of resources test for the evaluation of zoning changes where each proposal is evaluated to see if sufficient utility, transportation, and public safety infrastructure is in place to support the change in zoning. The sufficiency of resources test provides a “gatekeeping” function. Failure to pass the test should result in denial of a request. Success in passing the test should result in further analysis.

Utilities: The subject property is located in Public Water Service District #9, the Boone Electric Cooperative service area, and the Boone County Fire Protection District.

Transportation: The property has direct access on to State Highway HH and State Route Z, both publicly-dedicated, publicly-maintained rights-of-way.

Public Safety: The property is located in the Boone County Fire Protection District, with the station in Hallsville being closest for service.

Zoning Analysis: This proposal is a reasonable use of the planned rezoning process. Existing infrastructure can support the proposed development and the planned district allows for smaller lots as long as the 1 lot per 10 acres density is not exceeded.

The property scored 36 points on the rating system.

Staff recommended approval of the rezoning request and the review plan.

The Planning & Zoning Commission conducted a public hearing on this request during their July 20, 2017 regular meeting. There were nine members of the commission present during the meeting.

Following the public hearing, a motion was made to recommend approval of the rezoning request and the review plan. That motion carried with 6 members voting to approve the request and 3 members voting against the motion.

The Commission had no comment at this time.

Commissioner Atwill opened the public hearing and asked if there is anyone present to speak on behalf of this request.

Dan Brush said he is representing the property owner. This request is to try and preserve as much of the farm ground as possible while going ahead and generating a few lots. A couple of the lots will be for their kids.

We met with the Missouri Department of Transportation on site to address driveway issues and concerns of that type. We agreed that some of the lots would have a shared driveway while some will still maintain the same access point as now. There were no problems with site line distances.

Commissioner Parry asked what the total acreage is.

Mr. Brush said that 90 acres are involved with this request. One lot will be 49.26 acres, supporting one single family residence and will essentially be maintained as a farm. There is no intention for anything else.

Commissioner Parry noted that the total is 200 acres but only 90 are affected.

Commissioner Thompson noted that with this 90 acres, the eight smaller lots are tied to the larger lot as to what occurs with them.

Mr. Brush said that is correct.

Commissioner Thompson confirmed that the plan will keep the 49 plus acres as farm land.

Commissioner Parry confirmed, as explained earlier, if the developer/owner decides to change that, they must get the permission of all eight property owners.

Mr. Shawver said that at least five acres of their density is included in the 49 acres and they have to be party to a re-zoning request.

Commissioner Thompson that it is just not one person, all nine would have to participate in a change.

Commissioner Parry asked why this just scored 36.

Mr. Shawver said because of the distance to the nearest municipality as well as no waste water collection system and it loses points for being good farm land.

Commissioner Parry noted that each lot would have their own system.

There were no further Commission comments at this time.

Commissioner Atwill asked if there is anyone else present to speak on behalf of this request. There were none. Commissioner Atwill asked if there is anyone present to speak in opposition to this request.

John Miller feels this has not been very well planned. There will be new houses with a number of new lagoons and five new driveways. These are all concerns. I know they have talked to MoDOT and there will be five new driveways, but this is a very straight road with



vehicles travelling very fast. There will be more people backing out of driveways and they will be a greater potential for accidents. Lastly, they will be taking away some great farm land.

The Commissioners thanked Mr. Miller for his comments and Commissioner Atwill asked if there is anyone else to speak in opposition.

Phil Cathey said he gets the impression that they are selling five acre lots and what is to keep them from saying we have these 49 acres and why not put in more lots. The A-1 zoning has worked pretty good since 1973. If it's not broke, why fix it. Why, all of a sudden, are we going down to five acre lots. I can image that if this is approved, there will be more requests for five acre lots. This just looks like a way to get around the zoning.

Commissioner Parry asked Mr. Shawver to address Mr. Cathey's concern about going back and dividing the rest of the parcel and to whether this is allowed under current regulations.

Mr. Shawver said the only way that would be allowed is if all the property owners, who are included in the review plan, agree to come forward and that would be another re-zoning request, going through the whole process again.

Commissioner Parry asked what zoning would that be.

Mr. Shawver said it would be either A-2 or A-2P. The same process would be involved. We have it on record from the meeting at P & Z and from the one here tonight. It's not impossible. We don't know what tomorrow will bring.

Commissioner Thompson said that if they did go forward, all the property owners would have to be in agreement that the 49 plus acre farm land would become housing.

Mr. Shawver said the all would have to physically be party to the re-zoning request.

Commissioner Parry asked the lot size for A-2.

Mr. Shawver said it is 2.5 acres.

Commissioner Thompson confirmed that they would all have to agree and again come before the P & Z and County Commission and get this blessed.

Mr. Cathey there is no speed limit on Route Z. Some go as fast as 75-80 MPH. With more driveways, there is a larger chance someone will end up dead.

Commissioner Atwill noted that the biggest problem we have in this county is growth and the best thing we have going for us is growth and most counties we talk to around the state would love to have our problem.

Commissioner Atwill asked if there is anyone else to speak in opposition.

Susan Cathey said her concern is that during the P & Z meeting, there were no plans presented as to what would be built on these lots. There could be manufactured homes or they can put anything on it. We asked about it, and they had no idea what would be built on these lots.

We are all proud of our homes and there is no idea of what would be built on these lots. There will be lagoon drainage from these lots into the wooded area that slopes down from these properties. We don't know the cost of the lots, but if someone buys the land, using most of their money for that, they may only be able to afford a 500 sq. ft. house. It is great farm land and putting these little lots there will ruin the area.

Commissioner Thompson asked Mr. Shawver to speak to the concern of Ms. Cathey about the lagoons.

Mr. Shawver said all waste water systems are required to meet the standards set by the Health Department and adopted by the County Commission which are provided to protect public health. They are to be installed properly and inspected for proper installation. They function well and must meet the requirements of the State of Missouri for waste water treatment.

Commissioner Thompson asked if there is a problem, what happens.

Mr. Shawver said that if they don't meet the standards, a complaint can be filed with the Health Department to require enforcement of the regulations. County Counsel is well equipped to prosecute those not in compliance.

Commissioner Atwill asked if there are any other speakers in opposition. There were none and Commissioner Atwill closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Parry said we have great empathy for the neighbors and what is happening across the street. This request meets the letter of the law, all the requirements set forth by the County. In many respects, our hands are tied in terms of what we can do for them, but we do have empathy for them.

Commissioner Thompson said she lives in northern Boone County and not on the greatest farm land. As Commissioner Atwill stated, one of our biggest problems is growth and one of our biggest blessings is growth. This request here seems to be a way to tie as much land up as possible for farm land while acknowledging that we are growing and people do want to live in our beautiful county. This appears to be that solution.

Commissioner Parry moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby **approve** the request by RRJK LLC to rezone from A-1 (Agriculture) to A-1P (Planned Agriculture) on 90 acres, more or less, located at 7601 N Rte. Z, Columbia.

Commissioner Thompson seconded the motion.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order #338-2017**

Commissioner Atwill moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby **approve** a review plan for Reeves Development on 90 acres, more or less, located at 7601 N Rte. Z, Columbia.

Commissioner Parry seconded the motion.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order #339-2017**

**4. Thompson. A-2. S7-T49N-R12W. Alice M. Thompson Irrevocable Trust, owner. Kevin M. Schweikert, surveyor.**

Commissioner Thompson moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby receive and accept the following subdivision plat and authorize the Presiding Commissioner to sign it:

Thompson. A-2. S7-T49N-R12W. Alice M. Thompson Irrevocable Trust, owner. Kevin M. Schweikert, surveyor.

Commissioner Parry seconded the motion.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order #340-2017**

**Purchasing**

**5. Second reading; Bid Award 26-12JUN17 – Renovation of Judge’s Benches in 2W and 2E Courtrooms**

Commissioner Thompson moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby award bid 26-12JUN17 – Renovation of Judge’s Benches in 2W and 2E Courtrooms to Rhad Baker Construction, LLC of Fulton, MO.

Terms of the bid award are stipulated in the attached Contract Agreement. It is further ordered the Presiding Commissioner is hereby authorized to sign said Contract Agreement.

Commissioner Parry seconded the motion.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order #341-2017**

**13<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit Court**

**6. Public hearing and second reading; Budget Amendment to increase revenue and expenditures for the Domestic Relations Resolution Fund – Contact for Kids: A Safe Way Grant (1<sup>st</sup> read 7-20-17)**

Commissioner Atwill opened the public hearing and asked if there is anyone present that would like to speak to this budget amendment. There were no speakers and Commissioner Atwill closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Parry moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby acknowledge the following budget amendment from the 13<sup>th</sup> Judicial Circuit Court to increase revenue and expenditures for the Domestic Relations Resolution Fund – Contact for Kids: A Safe Way Grant, effective for the period of 7/1/17 – 12/31/17.

Department	Account	Department Name	Account Name	Decrease \$	Increase \$
1243	3451	Judicial Grants	State Reimbursement-Grant		5,000
1243	71101	Judicial Grants	Professional Services		5,000
					10,000

Commissioner Thompson seconded the motion.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order #342-2017**

**Sheriff's Department**

**7. Public hearing and second reading; Budget Amendment to establish budget for portion of Karpel interface project with Columbia Police Department and MU Police Dept. (1<sup>st</sup> read 7-20-17)**

Commissioner Atwill opened the public hearing and asked if there is anyone present that would like to speak to this budget amendment. There were no speakers and Commissioner Atwill closed the public hearing.

Commissioner Thompson moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby acknowledge the following budget amendment from the Sheriff's Department to establish a budget for the County's portion of the Karpel interface project with the Columbia and MU Police Departments.

Department	Account	Department Name	Account Name	Decrease \$	Increase \$
2905	3528	LE/Judicial Info Sys-LEST	Reimbursement Personnel/Projects		4,000
2905	91302	LE/Judicial Info Sys-LEST	Computer Software		4,000
					8,000

Commissioner Parry seconded the motion.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order #343-2017**

## **LEPC**

### **8. Second reading; Approve Grant request - LEPC 2017/2018 grant (1<sup>st</sup> read 7-27-17)**

Commissioner Parry moved on this day the County Commission of the County of Boone does hereby approve the attached 2017/2018 Chemical Emergency Preparedness Fund grant application submitted by the Boone County Local Emergency Planning Committee and offered by the Missouri Emergency Response Committee (MERC).

It is furthered ordered the Presiding Commissioner is hereby authorized to sign said grant application.

Commissioner Thompson seconded the motion.

The motion carried 3 to 0. **Order #344-2017**

**Commission**

**9. Public Comment**

Commissioner Atwill said former Governor Roger Wilson is here to give us an update on the progress of Proposition #1.

Roger Wilson said the campaign is going well and Scott Charton is responsible for most of the coordination. There has been a good public reception with very few questions. I sense no animosity and much affirmative head nodding. People have had 25 years to get used to this and if they know it and understand it, they realize there is not a better solution. The campaign committee has been very aggressive. We have had a lot of volunteer effort. Shelter Insurance has put a sign on their front lawn and that is the first time I have seen that. We are very happy that it has been endorsed in a bipartisan way because I feel it is not a partisan issue. I have had only two people have something negative to say and they have not offered a solution. I have every reason to believe that the citizens of Boone County will approve this proposition.

Commissioner Atwill thanked Governor Wilson for his devotion, time, energy, and effort on this issue. It has been important to have you out there.

Commissioner Parry thanked the Governor for allowing us to use his credibility and reputation and the passion that you show. You are very good at this.

Commissioner Thompson said it shows that you have passion and are committed to this and will go where two or more gather together. It has energized everyone.

Commissioner Parry thanked Scott Charton and said he has been tremendous. His accumulated experience in figuring out what motivates a voter has been valuable to the process.



**10. Commissioner Reports**

None

The meeting adjourned at 8:06 p.m.

Attest:



Art Auer  
Interim Clerk of the County Commission



Daniel K. Atwill  
Presiding Commissioner



Fred J. Parry  
District I Commissioner



Janet M. Thompson  
District II Commissioner

